

8 JAN 2002

Significant changes to 7 December RFP release, not errata.

	RFP Ref (7 DEC Draft)	Intended Revision
1	Executive Summary p 3 and L&M Table 564-4	Merge Threshold and Objective cost columns to a single column called " <i>Target</i> ", using the numbers from the previous Threshold column.
2	L&M-502	Update table to show separation between FPR oral presentation and actual delivery of FPR, as follows— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>FPR oral presentations (see L&M 519).</i> • <i>FPRs submitted and evaluated.</i>
3	L&M-512	Para. (e) change to add " <i>Note: the NPOESS PDRR contracts are automatically considered relevant and must be included.</i> "
4	L&M Table 512-3	Under Segment Design, delete the words " <i>at least</i> " in the relevancy note. Under Segment Design under the MEDIUM column, change " <i>space environmental data collection system</i> " to " <i>space-based remote sensing data collection system</i> ". Under Management and Organization, all columns, change " <i>subcontractor</i> " to " <i>major subcontractor</i> ". Under Management and Organization, all columns, delete all statements relating to staffing plans and design flexibility.
5	L&M-517	Add sentence to para. (g) as follows—" <i>This information in presentation will be considered for evaluation as part of the Mission Capability evaluation described in L&M-562. Notwithstanding this consolidated presentation, the offeror may still address software matters elsewhere during its oral presentation.</i> " Add para. (i) referencing the new L&M Annex C, PRMOP Rules of Engagement.
6	L&M-519	Change para. (a) to indicate the FPR oral presentation occurs before submission of the FPR, and re-write para. (c) as follows— " <i>The oral presentation consists of two parts. The offeror will be allowed <u>two</u> hours <u>for its presentation</u>. The FPR presentation shall focus on the deltas to the previously submitted proposal. After the offeror's presentation the Government will caucus to develop questions. The questions will then be provided to the offeror. The offeror will then be required to provide oral responses to the questions <u>two days afterwards</u> in a session that may not exceed two hours.</i> "
7	L&M-525	Delete requirement for original signatures on GFP authorization.
8	L&M-527	Will now accept MS Office 2000 compatible products, except MS Project (stays at 98). Directories modified to require linking <u>within</u> each directory.

8 JAN 2002

Significant changes to 7 December RFP release, not errata.

	RFP Ref (7 DEC Draft)	Intended Revision
9	L&M-535	Change para. (c)(2)(A) to reserved to delete reference to IMP tasks.
10	L&M-561	Delete internal page limits, but leave total at 18 pages.
11	L&M-562	Change para. 2.4.2(b) as follows— <i>"The Field Terminal segment design will be evaluated against the parameters of the Field Terminal Segment specification for meeting EDR performance requirements. The HRD approach will be evaluated for its likelihood to provide operational suitability in a regional, stationary-type environment. The LRD approach will be evaluated for its likelihood to provide operational suitability in a tactical, mobile, lightweight-type environment. (The Government's evaluation may include using simulation, inspection, and/or analysis.)"</i>
12	L&M-562	Change para. 3.1.1(e) to clearly indicate a total of ten risk submissions, not twenty.
13	L&M-562	Change para. 3.2.1 as follows— <i>"Describe the approach for manufacturing, integration, environmental testing, and acceptance testing. Describe how they are integrated into the verification and test program following the guidance of the TEMP."</i>
14	L&M-562	Change para. 3.5.1(a)(iii) from "...resource-loaded risk schedule." to "...resource-loaded schedule." Change para. 3.5.1(b) from "Submit a report of a Monte Carlo..." to "Discuss the results of a Monte Carlo..."
15	L&M-562	Change para. 3.6.1(b) and 3.6.2(b) to refer to Pre-IOC O&S instead of ICS, and change "plan" to "approach".
16	L&M-562	Change para. 4.1.1(e) from "...establish and maintain the algorithms and algorithm support facility..." to "...establish and operate the algorithm support facility..."
17	L&M-562	Delete references to employees from para. 4.2.1(b); move to 4.3.1(d) and 4.3.2(d).
18	L&M-562	Change para. 4.3.1(e) from "...staff the EMD program..." to "...staff the EMD, Production, and O&S programs..."
19	L&M-562	Delete Table 562-4.5 in its entirety.
20	L&M-563	Move the requirement to "describe how all lessons learned were applied in such a way as to show benefit on the NPOESS EMD/Production contract" from Section 2, Contract Descriptions, to a new paragraph (c) and renumber the remaining paragraphs.

8 JAN 2002

Significant changes to 7 December RFP release, not errata.

	RFP Ref (7 DEC Draft)	Intended Revision
21	L&M-563	To Section 2, Contract Descriptions, add a sentence, <i>"It is permissible to combine one or more contracts together on one contract description to describe a program that uses multiple contracts for program execution under a common management structure. "</i>
22	L&M-563	Add a new section, as follows— <i>"Section 7—Terminations. The offeror shall provide a listing of all contracts that have been terminated since March 1997 with a summary of the termination rationale."</i>
23	L&M-565	Delete references to demonstrations in para. (a) and add a new para. (d) as follows— <i>"Where the offeror intends to provide demonstrations (including hands-on applications, computer simulations, or other modes of presentation other than charts), the information or capability to be demonstrated must be graphically summarized in one or more slides in this volume with a notation that the actual demonstration will be provided at the combined Program Risk Mitigation Oral Presentation. The charts must describe the nature of each demonstration and its inputs, outputs, and other variables sufficient to allow the Government to grasp the value of the demonstration without its ever being run. For each such demonstration, the offeror must satisfy the Government that its development was arrested on the common cut-off date for submittal of proposals. Absent this satisfaction, the offeror will not be permitted to present these demonstrations at the oral presentation. The purpose of this restriction is a matter of fairness. Arresting the development of a demonstration means no further database additions, adjustment of variables, software improvements, refinement of animations, changes to exhibit materials, and so forth."</i>
24	RFP Sec H.; H002	Delete.
25	RFP Sec. B; all FPI CLINs	Specify target profit (10% of target cost), ceiling price (135% of target cost), award fee (5% of target cost, where applicable), and mission success fee (5% of target cost, where applicable).
26	RFP Sec. B; CLIN 2100	Rewrite as FPIS CLINs 2110, 2120, 2130 to breakout time periods (same periods as 2210, 2220, and 2230).
27	RFP Sec. B; CLIN 2200	Add training as a specified task of the transition effort.
28	RFP Sec. B; CLINs 0300, 1700, and 2000	Create CLINs 0300, 1700, and 2000 for NSP Data – 0300 is for EMD effort, 1700 is for Production effort, and 2000 is for O&S effort.
29	RFP Sec. B; CLINs 2210, 2120 (sic), 2240	Renumber as 2210, 2220, and 2230 and identify as Sustaining Engineering CLINs.

8 JAN 2002

Significant changes to 7 December RFP release, not errata.

	RFP Ref (7 DEC Draft)	Intended Revision
30	RFP Sec. H; H-500	Re-write para. (e) as <i>"The EMD contractor will have Total System Performance Responsibility for NPOESS Preparatory Project system, <u>excluding operation of the NPP Science Data Segment (SDS) and Archive and Distribution Segment (ADS), following GSFC acceptance of the NPP satellite and operational handover of NPP satellite control authority from GSFC to IPO</u> (anticipated at Launch plus 90 days)."</i>
31	RFP Sec. H; H-571	Add a note that no adjustment to fee applies <i>"so long as a launch occurs within one year of the dates projected above."</i>
32	RFP Sec. H; H-583	Change <i>"...company proprietary manufacturing processes."</i> to <i>"...proprietary information."</i>
33	RFP Sec. H; new H-505	Add a new clause, as follows— H-505 CONTRACTOR USE OF GOVERNMENT LABORATORIES <i>The contractor's decision to enter into or not enter into business relationships with Government laboratories or similar institutions is solely the contractor's own business judgement. If the contractor does enter into such relationships, the contractor is entirely responsible for all aspects of its arrangements with Government laboratories or other institutions, including (but not limited to) all costs, liabilities, and other risks associated with the Government laboratories or other institutions not satisfying the contractor's requirements under the agreement between the contractor and the Government laboratory or other institution. In no case shall the failure, delay, or cost overrun of a Government laboratory or other institution constitute a "Government-caused" failure, delay, or cost overrun. The contractor also bears all legal risks and liabilities associated with inappropriately using agreements with Government laboratories and similar institutions. Notwithstanding any of the contractor's relationships or arrangements with Government laboratories or other institutions, the contractor at all times maintains responsibility for its performance and business commitments under this contract between the contractor and the NPOESS Integrated Program Office.</i>
34	RFP Sec. I; FAR 52.232-28	Add the clause "INVITATION TO PROPOSE PERFORMANCE-BASED PAYMENTS" to apply to the FPI CLINs.